WE NEED YOUR HELP--Please give us your feedback regarding how meetings using electronic communications technology compare to traditional meetings where everyone is present in the same room at the same time.

1. Name of the public body holding the meeting: Department of Environmental Quality Public Hearing, VWPP No. 19-2036, Proposed Wegmans Distribution Center Wetland Filling Permit.

2. Date of the meeting: July 20, 2020.

3. What are your overall thoughts or comments about this meeting? Verbal communication was accomplished to a degree however non verbal communication was not accomplished. There was no live video feed. We could not see anyone from DEQ speaking or the participants. It is unfortunate as I do like to read lips when I am communicating with others.

4. Where did you attend this meeting -- main meeting location OR from a remote location? (circle one). This meeting was only offered virtually so I attended in my kitchen.

5. Technology used for the meeting (audio only or audio/visual, devices and/or software used--please be as specific as possible--for example, speakerphone, iPad, Skype, WebEx, Telepresence, etc.): Audio/visual through Webex. There was no actual visual feed though, all I saw was a camera pointed to an empty room.

6. Were you able to hear everyone who spoke at the meeting (members of the body and members of the public)?

   Poor                   Excellent
   2

   COMMENT__The quality of the audio was poor for DEQ. The man’s voice was difficult to understand.

7. How easy was it for you to obtain agenda materials for this meeting?

   Easy                    Difficult
   3

   COMMENT__I believe something was sent by email the day prior.

8. Could you hear/understand what the speakers said or did static, interruption, or any other technological problems interfere?
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The attendees had technical difficulty speaking as the interface made them unmute themselves after we were told we would be unmuted by the DEQ.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I could not see anyone who spoke.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I saw the attendees presentation but they were slow in changing slides.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There was no member participation other than reading of documents.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The members were impatient with the public while we tried to figure out how to speak on this platform and the public was interrupted and given warnings to “wrap it up” when the applicants speaker was not.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The members were impatient with the public while we tried to figure out how to speak on this platform and the public was interrupted and given warnings to “wrap it up” when the applicants speaker was not.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9. If the meeting used audio/visual technology, were you able to see all of the people who spoke?  
   - Poorly
   - Clearly
   - 1

   COMMENT I could not see anyone who spoke.

10. If there were any presentations (PowerPoint, etc.), were you able to hear and see them?  
    - Poorly
    - Clearly
    - 5

   COMMENT I saw the attendees presentation but they were slow in changing slides.

11. Were the members as attentive and did they participate as much as you would have expected?  
    - Less
    - More
    - 1

   COMMENT There was no member participation other than reading of documents.

12. Were there differences you noticed in how the members interacted?  
    - With the other members present:  
      - Very Different
      - No Difference
      - 1

    - With members participating from other locations:  
      - Very Different
      - No Difference
      - 1

    - With the public:  
      - Very Different
      - No Difference
      - 1

   COMMENT The members were impatient with the public while we tried to figure out how to speak on this platform and the public was interrupted and given warnings to “wrap it up” when the applicants speaker was not.

13. Did you feel the technology was a help or a hindrance?  
    - Hindered
    - Helped
    - 1
COMMENT__This atmosphere did not allow for non verbal communications which are very important. Leaving this out of the equation interpretations of meanings can easily be missed.

14. How would you rate the overall quality of this meeting?
   Poor
   Excellent
   1

COMMENT________________________________________________________

THANK YOU. Please send your completed form by mail, facsimile or electronic mail to the FOIA Council using the following contact information:

Virginia Freedom of Information Advisory Council
General Assembly Building, Second Floor
201 North 9th Street, Richmond, Virginia 23219
foiacouncil@dls.virginia.gov/Fax: 804-371-8705/Tele: 866-448-4100