



Easy 1 2 3 4 5 Difficult

COMMENT See previous comment. Also, there were many times when someone other than the speaker shuffled papers, etc. These activities made an already difficult situation much, much worse.

9. If the meeting used audio/visual technology, were you able to see all of the people who spoke?

Poorly 1 2 3 4 5 Clearly

COMMENT I was unable to see anyone at DEQ other than the Chair and Vice Chair of the State Water Board. No effort was made to move the camera or to place speakers in frame for viewing. They were so distant to the camera, it was impossible to discern expression, etc.

10. If there were any presentations (PowerPoint, etc.), were you able to hear and see them?

Poorly 1 2 3 4 5 Clearly

COMMENT Viewing Powerpoints was fine. I do not believe there was any audio component to what was presented.

11. Were the members as attentive and did they participate as much as you would have expected?

Less 1 2 3 4 5 More

COMMENT

? See below

12. Were there differences you noticed in how the members interacted?

With the other members present:

Very Different 1 2 3 4 5 No Difference

With members participating from other locations:

Very Different 1 2 3 4 5 No Difference

With the public:

Very Different 1 2 3 4 5 No Difference

COMMENT It was impossible to observe the interaction of the meeting members at DEQ. See previous comments. The remote Board Members were engaged and attentive. The members had very little interaction with the public. There was a point where a board member attempted to follow up on a public comment. This was impossible.

13. Did you feel the technology was a help or a hindrance?

Hindered 1 2 3 4 5 Helped

