

VIRGINIA FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ADVISORY COUNCIL

> Wednesday, October 11, 2006 1:30 p.m. Senate Room A General Assembly Building

SUGGESTED AGENDA *ANNOTATED*

- 1. Call to Order; introduction of members.
- 2. Progress Reports of subcommittees:
 - PPEA/PPTA Subcommittee.
 - Electronic Meetings subcommittee.
 - Fifth Response Subcommittee.
- 3. Other Business.
 - Review of draft legislation clarifying venue for FOIA enforcement actions. <u>Background:</u> You will recall that at the last meeting, the Council was briefed on the latest Supreme Court of Virginia decision in the case of William F. Shaw v. John T. Casteen, et al, a case concerning proper venue for enforcement of FOIA violations. Prior to this case, it has appeared that the University of Virginia is a state entity for FOIA purposes, and venue against the University is therefore found under subsection B of § 2.2-3713. Subsection A of § 2.2-3713 provides a venue for petitions against local public bodies; it seems clear that the University is not a local public body, and that subsection A of § 2.2-3713 is inapplicable to it. However, the trial court ruled otherwise and the Supreme Court, in refusing Mr. Shaw's petition for appeal, found no reversible error in that judgment. Staff brought this matter to the attention of the Council as it appears there may be a need to revise the definition of public body and/or the venue provisions of FOIA in order to prevent such a situation from arising in the future. The

Council discussed the issue and directed staff to recommend the necessary statutory amendments to clarify the venue provisions for FOIA petitions.

• Public access to travel reimbursement records. <u>Background:</u> It has come to Council staff's attention that there is considerable debate over what FOIA requires to be released as it relates to records of travel reimbursements. The specific language found in § 2.2-3705.8 says the public access shall not be denied to "...(ii) records of the position, job classification, official salary or rate of pay of, and **records of the allowances or reimbursements for expenses paid to any officer, official or employee of a public body" (emphasis added).** The issue is being raised to get the Council's sense of whether this provision requires the release of (i) credit card and hotel receipts appended to a travel voucher, (ii) the travel voucher and work sheet submitted for reimbursement, and (iii) the memorandum of the payment of the reimbursement identifying the amount paid, to whom paid, and the purpose of the travel.

4. Of Note:

- Holding of the Supreme Court of Virginia in the case of White Dog Publishing v. Culpeper County Board of Supervisors. <u>Background:</u> The Virginia Supreme Court found that in closing a meeting to the public, the Culpeper County Board of Supervisors had improperly invoked the open meeting exemption for contract negotiations found at § 2.2-3711 A 30. This exemption was added by the 2003 General Assembly at the recommendation of the FOIA Council. The Court's decision is the first interpreting this exemption and sets precedent regarding the scope of the exemption and the type of contract negotiation discussions allowed to be held in closed meeting.
- Holding of the 3rd U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals concerning Delaware's Freedom of Information Act limiting access to Delaware residents only. <u>Background:</u> The U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals has ruled recently that a provision in the Delaware Freedom of Information Act is unconstitutional on the basis that it limits access to public records only to residents of Delaware. The case is considered to be precedent-setting, according to legal experts, because there is little case law on the issue. Virginia's FOIA by its terms is limited to Virginia citizens; but unlike Delaware, also includes access rights to media representatives with circulation in or out of Virginia and who broadcast in or out of Virginia. It will be interesting to follow what if any impact this case will have on other states' FOIA law and in Virginia in particular.
- Latest stats on services rendered by FOIA Council.
- Year by year comparison of services rendered by FOIA Council. <u>Background:</u> At the last meeting, the Council requested staff to present statistics on the services rendered by the Council on a year-to-year basis so that trends could be ascertained.
- 5. Public Comment.

6. Adjournment.

Council Members

Senator R. Edward Houck, Chair Roger C. Wiley John B. Edwards Nolan T. Yelich Ralph L. "Bill" Axselle Mary Yancey Spencer Delegate H. Morgan Griffith John Stewart Bryan, III W. Wat Hopkins E.M. Miller, Jr. Craig T. Fifer Courtney M. Malveaux

Staff

Maria J.K. Everett, Executive Director Alan Gernhardt, Staff Attorney

The FOIA Council's web site is: <u>http://dls.state.va.us/foiacouncil.htm</u>. To assist us in providing Internet dissemination of materials, presenters are requested to submit written comments and handouts in electronic format by (i) e-mail to staff prior to meetings or (ii) diskette furnished to staff at meetings. Presenters are also requested to bring 20 copies of their remarks or handouts to meetings. These copies will be provided to FOIA Council members and the public. E-mail: <u>foiacouncil@leg.state.va.us</u>.